

| | | |
|---|---|---------------------------------|
| Form PTO-1449 (Modified) | Atty Docket No. SANOP0113US | Serial No. 10/564,692 |
| LIST OF PATENTS AND PUBLICATIONS FOR APPLICANT'S INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (Use several sheets if necessary) | Applicant: Mugihei IKEMIZU et al. | |
| | Filing Date January 13, 2006 | Group 1792 |

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

| Examiner Initial | Document Number | Date (MM/YYYY) | Name | Class | Sub-class | Filing Date if Appropriate |
|------------------|-----------------|----------------|------|-------|-----------|----------------------------|
| | | | | | | |

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

| Examiner Initial | Document Number | Date (MM/YYYY) | Country | Class | Sub-class | Translation | |
|------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------|-------|-----------|----------------------------------|----|
| | | | | | | Yes | No |
| | 60-176692 | September 1985 | JP | | | Partial | |
| | 58-133300 | August 1983 | JP | | | Partial | |
| | 8-192161 | July 1996 | JP | | | Abstract and Machine Translation | |
| | 1-167392 | November 1989 | JP | | | Partial | |
| | 11-207352 | August 1999 | JP | | | Abstract and Machine Translation | |
| | 58-124493 | July 1983 | JP | | | Partial | |
| | 1-148300 | June 1989 | JP | | | Abstract and Partial | |
| | 58-041599 | March 1983 | JP | | | Partial | |
| | 2001-029309 | February 2001 | JP | | | Abstract and Machine Translation | |

OTHER ART

| Examiner Initial | Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc. |
|------------------|--|
| | |

| EXAMINER | DATE CONSIDERED |
|----------|-----------------|
| | |

EXAMINER Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Information Disclosure Statement PTO-1449 (Modified)

The identification of any reference is not intended to be, and should not be understood as being, an admission that such publication, in fact, constitutes "prior art" within the meaning of applicable law since, for example, a given reference may have a later effective date than first seems apparent or the reference may have an effective date which can be antedated. The "prior art" status of any reference is a matter to be resolved during prosecution.